Journal of Computer Science and Informatics

https://jurnal.devitara.or.id/index.php/komputer

E-ISSN: 3047-5074

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024



PASCAL

Decision Support System for Choosing the Best Nurse Using the Multi Factor Evaluation Process (MFEP) Method at Djoelham Hospital, Binjai City

¹Yulia Ningsih, ²Magdalena Simanjuntak, ³Rusmin Saragih

^{1,2,3} STMIK Kaputama, Binjai, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Keywords: Nurse Decision Support System, Multi Factor Evaluation Process	Health workers are any person who is devoted to health and has knowledge and/or skills through education in the health field which for certain types requires the authority to carry out health efforts. A strategy is needed to increase the interest of health workers working in hospitals. The selection of exemplary health workers in hospitals is expected to be a motivation to increase the interest of health workers working in hospitals so that they can be a driver for the creation of health workers who have a nationalist, ethical and professional attitude, have a high spirit of service, are disciplined, creative, knowledgeable, skilled, virtuous and can uphold professional ethics. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the performance of nurses and reward the best nurses at Djoelham Hospital Binjai City. The use of the Multi Factor Evaluation Process (MFEP) method is relevant because it can help in integrating and evaluating various factors and criteria holistically. MFEP is a method that allows to evaluate various factors. The criteria used in this study are discipline, cooperation, loyalty, education, understanding of drug prescriptions, understanding of technology. The conclusion of this study is that the construction of this support system can help Djoelham Hospital in determining the best nurse increases accuracy in determining the best suitable nurse. This method is able to process various criteria that have been set, so that the results of decisions are more objective and fair compared to manual assessments.
Email :	Copyright © 2024 PASCAL.
mutiaradwianjani11@gmail.c	All rights reserved is Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial
om	4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

INTRODUCTION

Health workers are any person who is devoted to health and has knowledge and/or skills through education in the health field which for certain types requires the authority to carry out health efforts. A strategy is needed to increase the interest of health workers working in hospitals. The selection of exemplary health workers in hospitals is expected to be a motivation to increase the interest of health workers working in hospitals, ethical and professional attitude, have a high spirit of service, are disciplined, creative, knowledgeable, skilled, virtuous and can uphold professional ethics (Ramadiani and Rahmah 2019).

General Hospitals (RSUs) are health institutions that need high-quality nurses to provide optimal services to patients. The selection of the best nurse is very important because the role of nurses is vital in providing quality health services. However, the process of selecting the best nurse is not always easy to do because it involves various factors and criteria that must be considered, such as academic qualifications, work experience, clinical expertise, interpersonal skills, and so on.

The use of *the Multi Factor Evaluation Process* (MFEP) method is relevant because it can help in integrating and evaluating various factors and criteria holistically. MFEP is a method that allows to evaluate various factors that affect decisions, as well as provide weight or value relative to each of these factors.

With the existence of a decision support system using the MFEP method in selecting the best nurses at the hospital, it is hoped that the management of the hospital can optimize the decision-making process by comprehensively considering various relevant factors. This is expected to increase efficiency and effectiveness in choosing the best nurses, so as to be able to improve the quality of health services provided to patients. In addition, the use of decision support systems is also expected to provide transparency and **PASCAL** Journal of Computer Science and Informatics

E-ISSN: 3047-5074

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024

accountability in the nurse selection process, as well as help in reducing the potential for subjective bias that may arise in decision-making.

Several studies have been conducted on the decision support system for nurse selection. One of them is the research "Decision Support System for Employee Performance Assessment of Dr. Hadrianus Sinaga Hospital Using the Multi Factor Evaluation Process Method". The conclusion of this study is that by using this decision support system, the selection of outstanding employees at Dr. Hadrianus Sinaga Hospital becomes more effective and efficient and closes the possibility of fraud. From the results of the system test and data analysis, A8 (Sumihar Tamba) received the highest score with an evaluation weight of 81 and the lowest A4 (Lenni Simbolon) with an evaluation weight of 70.75 (Situmorang and Manurung 2021).

METHOD

The research method is an overview of the steps so that research can be carried out in a structured manner, so a framework is prepared from the beginning to the achievement of the final result. The stages of the research methodology are explained in general terms as follows:

- 1. Literature Survey. This stage is to collect literature materials and information related to the research title.
- 2. Literature Study. Studying the literature that will be used as a theoretical study in this study.
- 3. Hypothesis. The initial question was how to select the best nurse at the DJOELHAM Hospital in Binjai City.
- 4. Field Observation and Licensing. Conducting a search for data sources and permits to competent parties.
- 5. Data Processing. The form of processing data to make the data useful according to the desired results so that it can be used. Data Analysis, analyzing the results of data processing based on the results of existing research and theory.
- 6. Draw conclusions. Conclusions are drawn based on data analysis and checked whether they are in accordance with the purpose and objectives of the research.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The implementation of the decision support system (SPK) for the acceptance of the selection of the best nurse using *the Multi-Factor Evaluation Process* (MFEP) method involves several key steps.



Figure 1. Form Login

This form is a login form to open the program application where there is a username and password input done by an admin.

Journal of Computer Science and Informatics

https://jurnal.devitara.or.id/index.php/komputer

E-ISSN: 3047-5074

PASCAL

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024



Figure 2. Main Menu Form

In this form are some of the criteria needed to determine the best nurse.

Kriteria			
# Kode	Nama Kriteria	Kepentingan	Bobot
1 C1	Kedisiplinan	Cukup Penting	0.15
2 C2	Kerja sama	Sangat Penting	0,20
3 (3	Loyalitas	Cukup Penting	0,15
4 C4	Pendidikan	Sangat Penting	0,20
5 0	Pemahaman resep obat	Cukup Penting	0,15
6 C6	Pemahaman teknologi	Cukup Penting	0,15
# Jumlah Kriteriz	1 6	Total Bobot	1

Figure 3. Best Nurse Criteria Form

Refined criteria are standards or benchmarks that are used as a basis for making decisions or judgments. Here is a breakdown of the criteria for determining the best nurse

Sub Kriteria				
Bar concernation and				
+ Add Subkriteria				
Show 10 🕈 entries			Search:	
# 1. Kriteria	Sub Kriteria	🕴 Nilai Bobot	Alcsi	
1 C1 - Kedisiplinan	Kurang Disiplin	1	🔀 Edit 📋 Delete	
2 C1 - Kedisiplinan	Cukup Disiplin	2	🕼 Edit 📋 Delete	
3 C1 - Kedisiplinan	Disiplin	з	🖬 Edit 📋 Delete	
4 C1 - Kedisiplinan	Sangat Disiplin	4	🗹 Edit 📋 Delete	
5 C2 - Kerja sama	Kurang Baik	1	🛃 Edit 📋 Delete	
6 C2 - Kerja sama	Cukup Baik	2	🛿 Edit 📋 Delete	
7 C2 - Kerja sama	Baik	3	🔀 Edit 📳 Delete	
a ca moleccer	Less the second			

Figure 4. Form Filtered Criteria

In this alternative form, it is the input of data to determine the best nurse as shown in the picture below.

Journal of Computer Science and Informatics

https://jurnal.devitara.or.id/index.php/komputer

E-ISSN: 3047-5074

PASCAL

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024

SELERSI PERAWAT								- &
		Dashb	oard / Kriteria / Sub Kriteri	a / Alternatił				
		Alter	natif					
		C Ad	d Alternatif					
O Kennen		Show	10 • entries				Search	
Sub Kriteria	- 1	# 1	nama	Tempat Lahir	Tanggal Lahir	н Эк	Aksi	
(a) Alternatif	- 1	1	Indri Pratiwi	Binjai	02 Januari 2000	w	Ef Edit E Delete	
	_	2	Sugiona	Binjai	01 Januari 2000	Ŀ	(2 Edit) Celete	
	•	3	Patroawati	Binjal	01 Januari 2000	W	(2 Edit Deleta	
		4	Sari Mulia	Binjai	01 Januari 2000	W	C Edit Delete	
		5	Muhammad Alfan	Binjai	01 Januari 2000	- K	Cf ide Defete	
		6	Salsa Bila	Binjai	01 Januari 2000	W	Cr Edk Delete	
		7	Dea Amanda	Binjai	01 Januari 2000	W	(2) i dit	
			Dini Andda	Rinial	01 Januari 2000	- 100	COLUMN COLUMN	

Figure 5. Alternative Forms

In this analysis form, it is the result of inputting the best nurse data and the weight that has been converted to the number of values from each alternative in each criterion. As seen in the image below:

work	10 • entries						Search:	
					Kriteria			
# 1 Alternatif	C1 - Kedisiplinan	C2 - Kerja sama	C3 - Loyalitas	C4 - Pendidikan	C5 - Pemahaman resep 11	C6 - Pemahaman teknologi	Aksi	
1	A1 - Indri Pratiwi	Kurang Disiplin	Kurang Baik	Cukup Loyal	D3 Perawat	Sangat Paham	Cukup Paham	Delete
2	A2 - Sugiono	Cukup Disiplin	Cukup Baik	Loyal	D3 Perawat	Sangat Paham	Paham	Delete
з	A3 - Patmawati	Cukup Disiplin	Cukup Baik	Loyal	D3 Perawat	Sangat Paham	Paham	Delete
	A4 - Sari Mulia	Cukup Disiplin	Cukup	Kurang Loyal	5MA Sederajat	Paham	Cukup Paham	Delete

Figure 6. Analysis Form

tonnen	si Data ke Bobot								
			Kriteria						
"	Alternatif	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6		
	A1 - Indri Pretiwi								
	A2 - Sugiono								
	A3 - Patmawati								
	A4 - Sari Mulia								
5	A5 - Muhammad Alfan								
6	A6 - Saisa Bila								
7	A7 - Dea Amanda								

https://jurnal.devitara.or.id/index.php/komputer

E-ISSN: 3047-5074

PASCAL

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024

2. Evaluasi Factor Weigt

	Kriteria						
Alternatif	CI	C2	C3	C4	cs	C6	Jumlah (∑Kriteria/n)
A1 - Indri Pratiwi	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6		0,195
A2 - Sugiono		0.4	0.45	0.4	0.6	0.45	0,260
A3 - Patmawati	0.3	0.4	0.45	0.4	0.6	0.45	0,260
A4 - Sari Mulla		0.4	0.15	0.2	0.45	0.3	0,180
A5 - Muhammad Alfan	0.45	0.6	0.15	0.4	0.6		0,250
A6 - Salsa Bila	0.45	0.4	0.15	0.4	0.3	0.45	0,215
A7 - Des Amanda	0.45	0.4	0.45	0.2	0.45		0,225
A8 - Dini Andria	0.15	0.4	0.45	0.6	0.45	0.15	0,220
AD	0.45		0.2	0.6	0.45	06	0.290

Figure 7. Data Normalization Form

After carrying out the process, it will automatically generate a ranking form to determine the best nurse who is the most qualified nurse recipient among the best nurse recipients available so that the decision to select the best nurse meets the criteria.

3.	Perangkingan	
	#1 Rina Arianti	0,280
	#2 Yuni Sarah	0,280
	#3 Sugiono	0,260
	#4 Patmawati	0,260
	#5 Muhammad Alfan	0,250
	#6 Dea Amanda	0,225
	#7 Dini Andria	0,220
	#8 Salsa Bila	0,215
	#9 Indri Pratiwi	0,195

Figure 8. Form Ranking

Discussion

The following are the results of the implementation of the decision to determine the best nurse application.



E-ISSN: 3047-5074

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024

Hasil Analisa! Berdaarkan hasil perhitungan MFPP (Multi Factor Evaluation Process) yang sudah dilakukan, berikut keterangan dan kesimpulan yang bisa diambil:	
 Verawat Terbaik Rendasarkan hasil perhitungan MEEP dapat dilibat sebagai berikut : Rina Arlanti dan Yuni Sarah barada di peringkat teratas dengan nilai tertinggi (0.280), yang berarti nama tersebut menunjukkan keneja terbaik menunit avaluasi yang dilakukan. Suglone dan Patmawati berada di peringkat ketua dengan nilai (0.260). Muhammad Alfan berada di peringkat ketug dengan nilai (0.250). Venanganan Nilai Sama Apabila memiliki nilai yang sama, anda bisa melakukan langkah tambahan untuk menentukan peringkat akhir, misalnya menggunakan ketira tambahan, wawancara, atau melihat kembali aspek tartentu dari evaluasi mereka untuk 	
membedakan meneka. 8. Kinorja Lertinggi dan Lerendah • Rina Arlanti dan Yuni Sarah adalah / nama kandidat teratas. • Sari Mulla berada di peringkat terakhir dengan nilai yaitu 0.180 sahingga bisa dipertimbangkan untuk meningkatkan aspek tertentu dari kinorjanya jika ingin berada di peringkat yang labih tinggi di masa depan. 4. Bekomendasi tenghangaan Berdasarkan basi ini, penghangaan atau pengakuan bisa diberikan kepada perawat dengan nilai tertinggi (Bina Arlanti dan Yuni Sarah).	

Figure 9. Results

From the results of the MFEP calculation that can be seen in figure IV.9 above, it can be concluded that from the 10 nurse data that has been processed in the MFEP method calculation, the best nurses 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are obtained as an alternative with the highest value of 1, meaning that the best nurses 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are the main choices in determining the best nurses. The inference mechanism with *the Multi Factor Evaluation Process* (MFEP) method for the decision support system for determining the best nurse has simple stages because it uses logical expressions and rules using the following steps:

- 1. Step 1, alternative input or nurse data
- 2. Step 2, select the calculation button
- 3. Step 3, the analysis results appear and see the calculation results
- 4. Step 4, the system can select the data of the employee with the existing data

The commands in the program that the author created are also quite easy to understand because users only need to click on the buttons that are already available according to their needs.

CONCLUSION

With the construction of this support system, it can help Djoelham Hospital in determining the best nurses. The use of the MFEP method in the decision support system to determine the best nurse improves the accuracy in determining the best qualified nurse. This method is able to process various criteria that have been set, so that the results of decisions are more objective and fair compared to manual assessments. The system designed with the MFEP method allows for systematic management of nurse data. This makes it easier for Djoelham Hospital to monitor and evaluate nurses and nurse standards at the hospital, as well as provide convenience in the decision-making process.

REFERENCES

- Alfahmi Muhammad Arif, (2019), Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Dalam Penilaian Kinerja Perawat Menggunakan Metode Promethee Pada Puskesmas Rena Kandis Kabupaten Bengkulu Tengah, Jurnal: Teknik Informatika Universitas AMIKOM Yogyakarta
- Basuki, Agus Tri. 2016. Analisis Regresi dalam Penelitian Ekonomi & Bisnis: Dilengkapi Aplikasi SPSS & Eviews. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- B, Indra Yatini. (2010). Flowchart, Algoritma dan Pemrogaman Menggunakan Bahasa C++ Builder. Yogyakarta : Penerbit Graha Ilmu.
- Panjaitan , M. I., Rajagukguk , D. M., & Manalu, M. R. (2023). Implementation of the Decision Support System for the Appointment of Permanent Employees at CV. Armas Suan Sejahatera Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Method. Jurnal Info Sains : Informatika Dan Sains, 13(02), 119– 128.

Eko Siswanto, (2021), Kupas Tuntas Pemrograman PHP, Semarang: Yayasan Prima Agus Teknik

Decision Support System for Choosing the Best Nurse Using the Multi Factor Evaluation Process (MFEP) Method at Djoelham Hospital, Binjai City – Yulia Ningsih, et.al

PASCAL Journal of Computer Science and Informatics

E-ISSN: 3047-5074

Volume 2 Nomor 1 Tahun 2024

- Fowler, Martin. (2004). UML Distilled Panduan Singkat Bahasa pemodelan Objek Standar, Edisi 3. Andi Publishing, Yogyakarta.
- Kanur L. P. Situmorang dan Jonshon Manurung, (2021), Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penilaian Kinerja Pegawai RSUD Dr. Hadrianus Sinaga dengan Menggunakan Metode Multi Factor Evaluation Process, Jurnal: Teknik Informatika, STMIK Pelita Nusantara, Medan
- Mohammad Aldinugroho Abdullah, (2023), Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Dalam Pemilihan Perawat Terbaik Menerapkan Metode SAW dengan Pembobotan ROC, Jurnal: Sistem Informasi, Universitas Nasional, Jakarta, Indonesia
- Panjaitan, M. I., Rajagukguk, D. M., Manalu, M. R., Sihombing, M. J. T., & Siregar, K. (2024). APPLICATION OF THE A* METHOD IN MAKING COURSE SCHEDULING DECISIONS AT STMIK MULIA DARMA. Jurnal Multimedia Dan Teknologi Informasi (Jatilima), 6(02), 226-235.
- Muhamad Reza Okaviana, (2021), Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Rekomendasi Pemilihan Program Studi Menggunakan Metode *Multifactor Evaluation Process* Di Sma Negeri 1 Bandung, *Jurnal: Teknik Informatika. Universitas Komputer Indonesia.*
- Nitbani, D.M., 2012, Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penyeleksian Calon Mahasiswa Baru Universitas Katolik Mandira Kupang, *jurnal SAINSTEK*.
- Rahmansyah, Nugraha & Armonitha, Shary. (2021). Buku Ajar: Sistem Pendukung Keputusan. Padang: Pustaka Galeri Mandiri